

The Brandeston Parish Plan October 2008



Table of Contents

Introduction	2	Appendix G – Stakeholders	35
Steering Group Members	3	Appendix H – Leaflets & Posters	37
Parish Council – David Risk, Chairman	3		
Robin Alderson – Priest-in-charge	3		
Description of the Community	4		
Objectives and Terms of Reference	5		
Chronology	5		
Methodology	6		
Consultation Results	7		
Households	7		
Personal	8		
Opinion – How should Brandeston develop?	10		
Transport, travel, safety	10		
Planning, housing and building	13		
Services	15		
Classes, clubs and sports	17		
Communications and councils	19		
Environment	20		
Personal comments - what Brandeston means to me	23		
Conclusions	25		
Appendix A – Steering Group Members	26		
Appendix B – Objectives and Terms of Reference	27		
Appendix C – Timeline	28		
Appendix D – Survey results – Detailed data	29		
Appendix E – Action Plan	30		
Appendix F – References and Acknowledgements	34		



Introduction



Brandeston villagers decided at the Annual Parish Meeting on 25 April 2007 that a Parish Plan should be defined that would stand as a mandate for the Parish. This was proposed by the Parish Council, and Suffolk ACRE provided background on the Government's planning process currently underway and the desirability of each parish charting its own expectations on how it wished to develop and the best way in which to progress. It was clear that Government would place non-negotiable development/building targets on local planners who would discharge their responsibilities in the light of their knowledge of the locale, and any parish without a plan could expect to be considered amenable to imposed development (source: Suffolk Preservation Society).

With strong support from the floor the Parish Council proposed forming a sub-group to compile a Parish Plan based on a detailed survey of opinion. The resulting Steering Group comprised parishioners but with some Parish Council representation and conducted a series of open meetings before distributing a questionnaire, collating the results and presenting the resulting data to the village for review. This paper is the interpretation of that data into the key points arising, and recommended actions that result.



Steering Group Members

Steering Group members are at Appendix A.

Parish Council – David Risk, Chairman

From the past to the future with the confidence of knowing the aspirations of the Brandeston Community, the Parish Council is committed to addressing the issues raised in the Action Plan of this document to the best of it's ability within the bounds of it's authority. However many of these topics have been the subject of much debate and effort by the council without a successful resolution, this document provides the impetus to continue to seek a resolution to these and the new points raised. The work of the Steering Committee, their consultees and the help and assistance from within and out of the Village is acknowledged and our thanks are due to them all for the production of this document.

Robin Alderson – Priest-in-charge



This Parish Plan seems to me to typify and model community in action. The steering group have encouraged the involvement of everyone with an interest in the future of the village. The results of this consultation have been drawn together and weighed carefully and the result is a document which gives clear expression to the views of Brandeston people today. We should all be immensely grateful for the wisdom and commitment of the group who have compiled it.

It will be evident to anyone reading it that this is a place where people enjoy living together as neighbours. Brandeston is a good place to be, and we want to cherish and celebrate this inheritance for those who will live here in future. Of course, time does not stand still; the young of today will become the old of tomorrow and others, unknown to us now, will be living here in twenty years' time. This plan will be successful only if Brandeston seems to them, then and further ahead, as good a place, as friendly and flourishing, convenient and beautiful, as it seems to us today. This is not a matter of preserving its character but of initiating and nurturing those qualities that will continue to feed its life.

Where will you be in 2028? If you are living in Brandeston, I hope you will love it as much as we do today.



Description of the Community

Brandeston is a small Suffolk village comprising around 116 homes and 280 people. It is located close to two similar-sized villages, 16 miles north of Ipswich (IP13 postcode) and within reach of Framlingham (5 miles) and Woodbridge (9 miles). It is rural, surrounded by farmland and has undergone a gradual evolution over the last 40 years with infill housing, but so far has retained its unique and unspoilt appeal.

It has a recently built a new village hall, which is well used and much admired in the locality, has a thriving pub, the Queen's Head, but no longer has a shop. The Prep School for Framlingham College, Brandeston Hall, lies to the south alongside the river Deben and close to the beautiful All Saints Church. Most children are educated in nearby villages or towns.

The age-range of villagers is wide but there is a predominance of retired and older people, and the tendency is to long-term residency so there is a great sense of stability and community.





Objectives and Terms of Reference

The objectives for the Steering Group are:

To set out a vision of how the Community wants to develop, identify the actions needed to achieve it and produce a Parish Plan within 12 months of receiving funding.

The Terms of Reference for the Steering Group (SG) in support of the objectives are at Appendix B.

Chronology

The main dates have been:

- | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| • Annual Parish Meeting | 16 April 2007 |
| • Parish Plan - inaugural meeting | 27 June 2007 |
| • PP SG - Suffolk ACRE workshop | 14 July 2007 |
| • PP meeting | 19 July 2007 |
| • PP meeting | 16 August 2007 |
| • PP meeting | 18 September 2007 |
| • DEFRA Funding Application | September 2008 |
| • PP meeting | 10 October 2007 |
| • PP meeting | 22 November 2007 |
| • PP meeting | 17 January 2008 |
| • DEFRA Funding provided | February 2008 |
| • Distribute/collect Questionnaire | March 2008 |
| • Capture and collate results | March/April 2008 |
| • PP meeting | 2 April 2008 |
| • Annual Parish Meeting | 14 May 2008 |
| • PP meeting | 21 May 2008 |
| • PP Open Evening | 29 May 2008 |
| • PP meeting | 12 June 2008 |
| • PP meeting | 29 July 2008 |
| • PP meeting | 7 August 2008 |
| • Parish Council presentation | 24 September 2008 |

A timeline is at Appendix C.



Methodology

Following formation of the Steering Group working under the Parish Council, all meetings were open to all villagers and the minutes have been published on the Brandeston website and in the foyer of the village hall, together with a projected timescale.

Project progress has been noted in The Brandeston newsletter and the Parish Magazine, and individual leaflets have been posted through letterboxes to advertise the impending Parish Questionnaire.

A succession of meetings have been held to:

- Derive Terms of Reference and method
- Progress actions
- Obtain funding
- Communicate with villagers, stakeholders and Suffolk ACRE
- Devise the questionnaire
- Organise distribution/collection of the questionnaire
- Capture/collate results in presentation format
- Review findings with the village and Parish Council
- Present questionnaire results to villagers at the Annual Parish Meeting of 14 May 2008
- Compile draft Report and Action Plan





Consultation Results

The questionnaire was completed during March 2008 - with a fantastic response of 90% - and the results keyed into a summary tabulation during March and April. These results, as data but not as interpretations or conclusions, were presented to villagers at the Annual Parish Meeting of 14 May 2008. This was followed by an Open Evening on 29 June 2008 when further opportunity was provided to add additional comment to the findings.



The detailed results are at Appendix D, but a summary is as follows, supplemented by sample comments of individual villagers' in this typeface:

Households





BRANDESTON PARISH PLAN - 2008


116 questionnaires were distributed and 104 returned (89.7%) demonstrating an outstanding interest by villagers in Brandeston and its future. These returns covered 249 eligible respondents, over the age of 10.

94 homes were owner-occupied (96%) with some 201 vehicles in use, plus motorcycles and scooters.

Personal




The male:female balance was fairly even at 99:114, but the age range showed the majority of residents in the 25 - 79 bracket, peaking between 50 - 69. Only 21 are between 10 - 17.





Residency in Brandeston:



The vast majority of residents are long-term with 86 (6 - 15 years) and 59 (over 16 years) - a clear statement of satisfaction with Brandeston. In fact 193 respondents (against 7) had no intention of leaving Brandeston in the next 12 months.

Roughly one quarter of the total are retired with most of the remainder employed, self-employed or in full-time education.



35 people worked in Brandeston and 49 elsewhere in Suffolk, of whom 27 were in education and only 10 in Agriculture.



Opinion – How should Brandeston develop?



There are mixed feelings about development in Brandeston where a minority support an increase in local employment but are outweighed by those opposed. There is a pronounced reluctance for change. However there is a common request for a village shop – if this is viable.

Comments include:

We love Brandeston as it is – no change please.

Small farm shop for fresh food

Post Office and village shop only.

Could we run our own village shop? Would anyone use it?

Transport, travel, safety

There is little or no congestion in Brandeston, except in The Street due to on-road parking. **However**, there is concern over speeding which is a major hazard and priority for resolution. Speeding occurs on all Brandeston roads but particular offenders are regarded as those transporting children to/from Brandeston Hall school. There is a



BRANDESTON PARISH PLAN - 2008

preference for electronic speed indicators backed up by frequent police traps.

The main means of transport for 200 respondents is by car or van.

The vast majority of villagers do not use the public bus service. However, the minority without cars are dependent on buses for shopping/medical visits and find existing services poor and inadequate. It seems that commercially viable and adequate public services cannot be provided and perhaps Brandeston should consider extending the 'Good Neighbour' scheme to address travel needs to Framlingham, Wickham Market and Woodbridge.

Train services are used by half the villagers for work, social, leisure and shopping purposes, from Wickham Market or Ipswich.

Comments include:

Exit to Village Hall - ie parking in The Street

CPRE (Council for Protection of Rural England) research shows white lines in villages can give drivers more confidence and thus encourage speeding. I would hate to see the village become a 'Stowuplan' ie a mass of coloured lines, grids, speed bumps etc

Earl Soham Road, Manse Hill [speeding]

Outside my house when with my children

Sometimes fast traffic on Brandeston/Earl Soham road makes moving horses hazardous

Traffic speeds in both locations (given that The St is free of parked cars, which is not always the case) would not say 'in danger' in particular, but speeding does occur

Between School & junction on to Low St

BRANDESTON PARISH PLAN - 2008



From Broadhurst to the Church

The Mill Lane/The St junction is very, very busy. Most 'visitors' or 'passing through' traffic think that the junction is quiet and they frequently, to turn round, turn up Mill Lane E then reverse back into The St - Mad!

Electronic Speed indicators at each end of the village. Traffic lights operated by speed of oncoming vehicle. Over 30 mph and you get an automatic red light. Below 30 mph you continue your leisurely drive through Brandeston. Works very well

As far as I know, absolutely no research to show these measures are effective - costly though!

Strongly opposed to road-narrowing

Tighter control of parents travelling to Brandeston School





Planning, housing and building



The Planning Application process was not highly regarded, but the amount of development in Brandeston over the last 10 years was thought to be 'about right'.

There was a widespread understanding of the needs of young people for 'affordable housing' but this was set against the practicalities of what was considered affordable and whether there was work locally to support these families, considering the spiralling cost of travel.

Some support was expressed for a local shop and also craft workshops to help support young families.

However there was a strong, majority, feeling that Brandeston had evolved enough and should be left basically as it is:

Comments include:

The village is a sustainable size – any further building would affect its character.'

How many people would have approved of the building they think of now as 'about right' beforehand? Do young people want homes for the young or is it that people in general sort of feel we ought to have it?



BRANDESTON PARISH PLAN - 2008

We should consult SCDC on ways of protecting land outside the 'village envelope' from development.





Services

Provided people had a car, reaching medical facilities (doctor, dentist, etc) presented few difficulties, but those without such access faced great difficulty despite an active, unofficial, 'Good Neighbour' service. This challenge, linked with poor bus services, needs addressing on a local basis, perhaps with nearby villages, see above.

A high satisfaction was recorded for the Doctor, Health Centre Nurse and Dentist. People appear to be in excellent health.

There was strong support for a 'Good Neighbour' scheme and some offers of help in this context, although a significant unofficial service already exists.

For the few who had need of the emergency services, most were pleased with the service in response to an 'emergency'. However, normal police coverage of Brandeston was thought to be light, although it is acknowledged that it is a very low-crime area.

Anti-social behaviour issues were few, although concern over noise at night (eg distant discos), shooting at night and roadside litter was noted.

Comments include:

Broadband links upgraded.

Mobile phone mast needed for some networks.

In this context most requested a Neighbourhood Watch (which already exists on a limited basis) together with greater police presence.



Generally, most were happy with the quality of mains water supply, electricity and telephone landlines, but mobile reception was poor, and broadband was variable. The questionnaire preceded the new refuse recycling processes which were strongly demanded.

Strong criticism was levelled at:

- Poor surface water drainage, specifically in The Street where water channelled down the road because the original roadside ditches had been piped and these were now blocked
- Waste water (sewage) frequently discharged from the overloaded sewer from The Street to Low Road when heavy rain added to the load

Both issues have been apparent for some time and the concern is that they will only get worse, particularly if more development is permitted.

The majority use all forms of recycling facilities.

Principal shopping venues are the supermarkets, Post Offices and other shops in nearby Earl Soham, Framlingham, Wickham Market and Woodbridge but this is subject to transport. Some use is made of the weekly PO in the village hall but this is inferior to a permanent service in the village.

Comments include:

Drainage needs attention!

Get rid of water in The Street; Sort out water leak in The Street

Is it possible to re-establish the ditches, so rainwater does not have to go to drains.

The ditch along the north side of The St should be re-instated.

A Good Neighbour register might be useful - somewhere for people with any needs to ask for help eg with lifts to doctors etc & a list of people might be able to do this.



Classes, clubs and sports



Social activities in Brandeston centre around the Village Hall and the much favoured Queen's Head Public House. The wide range of activities held at the village hall are considered good, and are supported mainly by the 25+ age group. There are limited activities organised for the younger age groups, however, but the children's play area is excellent. There is some call for additional classes, mainly in languages, computing, etc, with some individual requests:

Comments include:

Painting/art, Meditation, Astronomy, DIY, Fitness, Gardening, Music

New sports are sought, including carpet bowls and football, but again individual requests include:

Tennis, Aerobics, Cycling, Pilates, Badminton, Theatre, Rugby, Gymn

However, few are prepared to organise these additional activities.

In recognition of the dearth of activities for the younger villagers, a Youth Club has been proposed, but this is negated because of a shortage of organisers and the need for a core of users. Perhaps it would be more appropriate to provide transport to/from local hot-spots such as Framlingham.



Comments include:

Are there enough younger people to support any clubs? Would it be better to think about arranging transport to larger centres; eg Framlingham or Debenham?

Please may we have a basketball net (hoop). On a hard surface like a tennis court.

A boules league is being organised. We need to plant plane trees on the hall car park.

Younger children might like to care more about the environment so there could be a 'help the environment' club. Also to go in the club we could go round the village to have a clear up.

What about twinning with a village in France? Good idea - preferably in Loire/Burgundy/Bordeaux area.





Communications and councils

Villagers learn about events, activities, etc, through a variety of sources from The Brandeston (newsletter), the Brandeston website, posters, Parish Magazine and word of mouth and they generally regard coverage as good. There is some request for a local information point but the majority see no need for further provision.

Few people attend Parish Council meetings, and there is little opinion on how well the Parish, Suffolk County and Suffolk District County Councils publicise their activities. **However**, the Parish Council is well regarded for its awareness of local issues.

Most are not prepared to pay a higher Council Tax to fund additional needs for Brandeston.

Comments include:

Speeding should be addressed.

We need to have a greater understanding of the workings and responsibilities of SCDC & SCC.



Environment



A huge majority (200) felt the environment around Brandeston was very important. Several issues were highlighted but the key ones included:

- Reducing overhead cabling
- Preventing more building
- Reducing light pollution, particularly orange lighting notably at Brandeston Hall School
- Saving energy
- Reducing litter

Comments include:

Reduce orange lighting; especially the School. The orange glow is a blot on our landscape

Have Brandeston School been involved in discussions re school traffic/speeding & consideration for the village? Would be nice to have input from them.

Stop Brandeston Hall traffic ripping up the verges. Slow the School Mums' driving speed

Stop Speeding



BRANDESTON PARISH PLAN - 2008

Reduce telephone/electric pole duplication; improve sewerage system; improve surface water collection

Identify & protect conservation areas; areas for tree-planting; preserve the Chapel and graveyard in Mill Lane

We need to protect the area outside the 'village envelope' from development.

Dropping litter from cars, including disposable nappies & fast food waste

Overflying by aircraft

Fireworks, Crow scarers

Someone kicked our cat

Speeding traffic

Roadside litter

And more wildlife reserves.

Reserve areas specifically for wildlife **(Note: formal proposal received for reserve at the far end of the Playing Field)**

Wide support was shown for planting more trees, managing woodland, hedge maintenance, public open space maintenance and cleaning out ponds & ditches. Most were against adding further picnic areas or engaging an environment ranger.

The appearance of most places in Brandeston was highly regarded. Brandeston does not suffer greatly from major problems although traffic noise and light pollution were each considered significant.

Most knew where footpaths were and could use them without difficulty, but there was an equal split on whether new paths should be established. There was a call for maps and leaflets, although OS maps already exist.



BRANDESTON PARISH PLAN - 2008

If additional money were required to implement improvements in Brandeston most favoured fundraising but definitely not a council tax rise.

Finally, the vast majority (156) wanted Brandeston to remain much as it now is with 74 wanting a more balanced range of ages.

Comments include:

More rigid planning controls to protect architectural heritage – sacrilege that Red House Barn allowed to be destroyed – preservation of hedges/trees essential too!

A place where local people can live & find work



Personal comments - what Brandeston means to me

Peace, tranquillity, community spirit, low crime rate, small size, unspoilt countryside

Friendliness, safety

Good neighbours

Easy to get to main towns, lack of traffic, pleasant people

Brandeston as it is!

I feel very fortunate to live in Brandeston and hope that very little will change in the future

Quality of life

Peaceful rural setting with a good community spirit and interesting village activities

To be part of a strong village. Wonderful walks in a beautiful area

I like the 'village' feeling in the area. It is unspoilt

It is quiet & I like living here

Small size, fabric of the village to stay much the same

The only problem is speeding in The Street

Village life at its best

Tranquillity in general, decent & friendly local villagers, few incomers

The countryside and the peacefulness. But we must not allow it to become a dormitory

Attractive gardens. My home & my friends



BRANDESTON PARISH PLAN - 2008

It is also a friendly & welcoming place if you want to make the effort

I feel very lucky to live in such a lovely place

Is nice, I like

Excellent well run village by local residents

The status quo isn't an option - change happens constantly, and we need to manage it.





Conclusions

Brandeston is clearly a small community and it does not have the major issues that some other parishes experience. Certainly there are issues to be addressed such as speeding and resolving surface water and sewerage problems but these are manageable with the help of our partners, and this is addressed in the Action Plan.

The village has **evolved** significantly over the last 40 years but has managed to absorb these changes and preserve its charm, culture and spirit but has now reached its sustainable limit. It is highly prized in its present form by its residents who recognise the need to continue evolution gradually but **emphatically reject any major development**. Villagers are aware of the general need for low-cost housing but this has to be in a practical context; can the occupants afford the houses and the travel to distant workplaces? If not - with the escalating cost of fuel - Brandeston is remote from centres of employment and is unsuitable.

Brandeston has a superb community spirit - evident in the village hall on the Thursday coffee mornings - and excellent means of communication by way of the Parish Magazine, The Brandeston, the website and posters; these are the signs of a vibrant and happy village.

Suffolk Coastal District Council's own Village Plan for Brandeston dated 1988 noted that the infrastructure (including roads) precluded significant development here despite the then recent installation of mains sewerage and this situation has not since improved; indeed the sewerage system is now frequently overloaded.

Brandeston is a gem, appreciated by its residents who have stated emphatically that it should not be developed further - in any significant sense - to meet housing targets which would ruin a superb example of a Suffolk village.

BRANDESTON - a great place to live!



Appendix A – Steering Group Members

Nick Holland-Brown (Chairman)
Nick Hayward (Secretary)
Alastair Chapman (Treasurer)
Graham Edhouse
Susan Edhouse
Paddy Fielder (Parish Council)
Annie Law
Philip Summers (Parish Council)
Sarah Thorne
Peter Thurlow

This group was ably assisted by an additional 22 members of the community throughout the series of meetings, whose names have been noted in the meeting minutes.



Appendix B – Objectives and Terms of Reference

The objectives for the Steering Group are:

To set out a vision of how the Community wants to develop, identify the actions needed to achieve it and produce a Parish Plan within 12 months of receiving funding.

The Terms of Reference in support of the objectives are:

- Develop a consensus on how Brandeston parishioners wish their village to progress by:
 - Estimating project costs, and seeking external funding under the governance of the Parish Council
 - Maintaining financial accounts for approval by the Parish Council; ie proposed spend within the budget. Expenses incurred by the Steering Group members to be claimed monthly from the SG 'Treasurer'
 - Developing a project timeline (approx 12 months) and managing progress to that plan
 - Conducting open meetings/exhibitions/events to elicit local opinion on the needs for Brandeston and its residents
 - Conducting research using formal questionnaire(s) covering all aspects of life in Brandeston and addressed to all residents, delivered and collected. Scope to include Social and Community, Services, Economic, Land and Environment, Infrastructure and Communications, and Leisure topics
 - Using working groups, if required, to research specific topics
 - Encouraging participation and contribution by residents in the project process
 - Recording actions taken
 - Analysing and collating results
 - Consulting 'stakeholders' (parties linked with Brandeston such as the QH public house, Parish Council, SCDC, local strategic partnerships neighbouring parishes, Countryside Agency, Rural Communities Council, Suffolk ACRE, etc)
 - Reviewing existing appraisals, plans and surveys (eg Local Transport Plans, housing strategies)
 - Communicating project progress regularly to villagers using several media to ensure thorough coverage; ie The Brandeston, The Parish Magazine, the Brandeston website, paper folder in the foyer of the village hall, notice-boards, etc
 - Distilling the results into a draft report, action plan and design statement, defining what is proposed, why it is necessary, who will take action, when and at what cost. Report to be in simple, concise language, written in one style by one person
 - Presenting draft report to the Parish Council for approval and endorsement
 - Presenting the report to villagers in a public event, seeking endorsement and last comment
 - Finalising report, production of copies and formal presentation to Parish, District and County Councils and selected partners
 - Progressing the Action Plan
 - Reviewing the plan periodically



Appendix D – Survey results

Because of its size, a copy of survey detailed data is available in the foyer of the village hall and on the website rather than being included in this report.



Appendix E – Action Plan

Category	Issue	Resolution	Lead Org	Partners	Priority
Access to Healthcare	Travel for those without cars	Improve transport links – consider in concert with 'Good Neighbour' scheme, below	PC		H
Built Environment	Residents like Brandeston the way it is and are emphatically opposed to further development.	Investigate all ways of protecting the environment in and around the village. Protect the village against further development, as it is a gem and should be valued as such	PC	SCDC	H
Community Safety	Speeding & safety at BVH entrance	Press for electronic speed indicators & police traps. Impress on Brandeston Hall School & parents their responsibilities. Lobby for traffic mirror(s) at BVH entrance	PC & BVH	Highways Dept, Police	H



Category	Issue	Resolution	Lead Org	Partners	Priority
Transport links	High dependency on private cars but some do not have this option, so local alternative required.	Improve transport links (eg via 'Good Neighbour' scheme)	PC	Villagers	H
Environmental Health and Pollution	Sewage system overload during heavy rain	Solve overflow issue	PC	SCDC	H
Risk Management (flooding)	Surface water in The Street causes flooding	Investigate how water can be managed (eg reinstatement of ditches/larger bore piping)	PC	SCDC	H
Natural Environment	1 Light pollution from Brandeston School. 2 Overhead cabling 3 See survey results for environmental proposals	1 Approach school and seek a solution. 2 Explore ways to remove o/h cabling 3 examine survey for feasibility	PC	1 Brandeston Hall, 2 BT/EDF 3 Residents' group	M



Category	Issue	Resolution	Lead Org	Partners	Priority
Facilities for teenagers	Few facilities in Brandeston for teenagers because of numbers	Consider organising transport for teenagers to/from Framlingham	Parents	Parents	M
Facilities for young children	Shortage of facilities	Examine what is needed, its cost and support	Parents	Parents	M
Cultural, Sporting & Recreational Facilities	Increase range of sporting facilities & adult education	Encourage villagers to explore starting sports and recreation (particularly for the under 25's), adult education classes	BVH Committee	Villagers	M
Access to Information	Publicity of planning processes	Employ website and The Brandeston to promulgate details of planning applications	PC	Website manager, The Brandeston editor, Planners	M



Category	Issue	Resolution	Lead Org	Partners	Priority
Local Democracy	Inadequate communication between councils and villagers	Investigate how to ensure SCDC and SCC are aware of concerns/feelings of residents. Invite villagers to attend PC meetings, and see Brandeston & SCDC websites. (Annual summary of PC activities is covered by minutes)	PC	Councils, Villagers	M
Voluntary & Community Activity	'Good Neighbour' Scheme; ie help for those who need it	Improve the present voluntary arrangements by organising rosters for transport, etc	PC	Villagers	M
Shopping & Services	Poor mobile reception & broadband links	Research & review options to improve	Residents' Group	Telecom Co's	L

Appendix F – References and Acknowledgements

Brandeston Parish Council is indebted to the following organisations for their support and assistance throughout the Parish Plan project:

DEFRA - funding

Suffolk ACRE - advice & guidance



Suffolk Coastal District Council



SCDC Brandeston Village Plan, 1988

Suffolk County Council

Suffolk Preservation Society - planning advice



Appendix G – Stakeholders

The following stakeholders have been engaged during the Parish Plan development:

The Chief Executive
East Anglian Ambulance NHS Trust

St John Ambulance

Beestons

Gemini Travel

The Reverend Robin Alderson
Priest in Charge
All Saints' Church, Brandeston

Thompson Removals and Coach Hire

The Hon Secretary
Suffolk Preservation Society

The Hon Secretary
Suffolk Wildlife Trust

EON

Customer Relations Manager
EDF Energy Plc

Chief Fire Officer and Director of Public Protection
Suffolk Fire and Rescue Services Headquarters

Head of Communications
Suffolk County Council Headquarters

Personal Assistant to Head of Communications
Suffolk County Council Headquarters

The Senior Partner
Earl Soham Medical Practice

The Senior Partner
Framlingham Medical Practice

Parish Clerk
Cretingham, Monewden and Hoo Parish Council



BRANDESTON PARISH PLAN - 2008

Parish Clerk
Earl Soham Parish Council

Parish Clerk
Easton Parish Council

Town Clerk
Framlingham Town Council

Parish Clerk
Kettleburgh Parish Council

Parish Clerk
Letheringham Parish Meeting

Parish Clerk
Saxtead Parish Council

Suffolk Constabulary
Police Headquarters
Martlesham Heath

The Postmaster
Framlingham Sub Post Office

Alan Randall
The Queen's Head Inn
Brandeston

Royal Mail Customer Services

The Master
Brandeston Hall Preparatory School

Customer Relations
British Telecom

The Senior Partner
Earl Soham Veterinary Surgery

Anglian Water
Customer Services

Media, Events and Public Relations Manager
Essex & Suffolk Water



Appendix H – Leaflets & Posters

Add copies of Flyer & Posters used



Chairman – Nick Holland-Brown
Email: nickhb@btconnect.com

Brandeston Parish Plan

Brandeston people have the
opportunity to have their say

Your Questionnaire is coming!

During the next couple of weeks we will be calling
to deliver a questionnaire which we would like you
to complete. It will enable you to say what you
think about Brandeston and its future so that the
overall view can be presented to the local authorities
by our Parish Council.
We will also arrange to collect the questionnaire
from you.

If you have any queries, please call:

Nick Holland-Brown	685048
Alastair Chapman	685373
Graham and Susan Edhouse	685801
Nick Hayward	685465
Hetty Rogers	685373
Paddy Fielder and Sarah Thorne	685018
Philip Summers	685041
Peter Thurlow	685673



Brandeston Parish Plan Open Meeting Thursday 29 May 6pm – 9pm Village Hall

Brandeston people
have the opportunity to
have their say

Your Open Meeting

Following on from the Annual Parish Meeting on 14 May
where the **outline** results of the Questionnaire
were presented, do come to the Open Meeting
for a last opportunity to
add any further views for the final report.

Free refreshments including wine.

If you have any queries, please call:

Nick Holland-Brown (Chairman)	685048
Alastair Chapman	685373
Graham and Susan Edhouse	685801
Nick Hayward	685465
Paddy Fielder and Sarah Thorne	685018
Philip Summers	685041
Peter Thurlow	685673



Photos © Nick Hayward 2008